The evolution of red color vision is linked to coordinated rhodopsin tuning in lycaenid butterflies
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Color vision has evolved multiple times in both vertebrates and invertebrates and is largely determined by the number and variation in spectral sensitivities of distinct opsin subclades. However, because of the difficulty of expressing long-wavelength (LW) invertebrate opsins in vitro, our understanding of the molecular basis of functional shifts in opsin spectral sensitivities has been biased toward research primarily in vertebrates. This has restricted our ability to address whether invertebrate Gq protein–coupled opsins function in a novel or convergent way compared to vertebrate Gq opsins. Here we develop a robust heterologous expression system to purify invertebrate rhodopsins, identify specific amino acid changes responsible for adaptive spectral tuning, and pinpoint how molecular variation in invertebrate opsins underlie wavelength sensitivity shifts that enhance visual perception. By combining functional and optophysiological approaches, we disentangle the relative contributions of lateral filtering pigments from red-shifted LW and blue short-wavelength opsins expressed in distinct photoreceptor cells of individual ommatidia. We use in situ hybridization to visualize six ommatidial classes in the compound eye of a lycaenid butterfly with a four-opsin visual system. We show experimentally that certain key tuning residues underlying green spectral shifts in blue and red wavelengths for visual performance adaptation.

O
psins belong to a diverse multigene family of G protein–coupled receptors that bind to a small nonprotein retinal moiety to form photosensitive rhodopsins and enable vision across animals (1–4). The tight relationship between opsin genotypes and spectral sensitivity phenotypes offers an ideal framework to analyze how specific molecular changes give rise to adaptations in visual behaviors (5). Notably, independent opsin gene gains and losses (6–13), genetic variation across opsins (14–16), spectral tuning mutations within opsins (17–21), and alterations in visual regulatory networks (22, 23) have contributed to opsin adaptation. Yet, the molecular and structural changes underlying the remarkable diversification of spectral sensitivity phenotypes identified in some invertebrates, including crustaceans and insects (24–27), are far less understood than those in vertebrate lineages (28–32).

The diversity of opsin-based photoreceptors observed across animal visual systems is produced by distinct ciliary vertebrate c-opsin and invertebrate rhabdomeric based r-opsin subfamilies that mediate separate phototransduction cascades (31, 33–35). Vertebrate c-opsins function through the G protein transducing (Gq) signaling pathway, which activates cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase, ultimately resulting in a hyperpolarization response in photoreceptor cells through the opening of selective K+ channels (31, 36). By contrast, insect opsins transmit light stimuli through a Gq-type G protein (33, 37) with phosphoinositol (PLCβ) acting as an effector enzyme to achieve TRP channel depolarization in the invertebrate photoreceptor cell (34, 38).
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All vertebrate visual cone opsins derive from four gene families: short-wavelength-sensitive opsins SWS1 (or ultraviolet [UV]) with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 344 to 445 nm and SWS2 with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 400 to 470 nm, and longer-wavelength-sensitive opsins that specify the green MWS (or RH2) pigments with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 480 to 530 nm and red-sensitive LWS pigments with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 500 to 570 nm (5, 50). Most birds and fish have retained the four ancestral opsin genes (39), with notable opsin expansions in chichlid fish opsins (23, 40), whereas SWS1 is extinct in monotremes, and SWS2 and M opsins are lost in marsupials and eutherian mammals (41). In primates, trichromatic vision is conferred through SWS1 ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 414 nm) and recent duplicate MWS ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 530 nm) and LWS opsins ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 570 nm to 640 nm) (42–44).

In vertebrates, molecular evolutionary approaches and well-established in vitro opsin purification have identified the complex interplay between opsin duplications, regulatory and protein-coding mutations controlling opsin gene tuning, and spectral phenotypes notably in birds, fish, and mammals (45–47).

Insect opsins are phylogenetically distinct but functionally analogous to those of vertebrates, and the ancestral opsin repertoire consists of three types of light-absorbing rhabdomeric analogs to those of vertebrates, and the ancestral opsin repertoire controlling opsin gene tuning, and spectral sensitivity. However, disentangling the relative contributions of lateral filtering and pure LW opsin properties has remained technically challenging using classical electrophysiological approaches (14, 64, although see, e.g., refs. 65, 66, 67) and has been limited by the lack of in vitro expression systems suitable for LW opsins.

While opsin duplicates have been identified in numerous organisms, the spectral tuning mechanisms and interplay between new opsin photoreceptors in invertebrate visual system evolution are less well understood. Here we combine physiological, molecular, and cerebrophagous approaches (14, 64, although see, e.g., refs. 65, 66, 67) and has been limited by the lack of in vitro expression systems suitable for LW opsins.

We present a molecular and ecological model, we find coordinated spectral shifts at short- and long-wavelength Gq opsin loci and demonstrate that the combination of six ommatidial classes of photoreceptors in the compound eye uniquely extend spectral sensitivity at long wavelengths toward the far-red while concurrently sharpening acuity of multiple blue wavelengths. Together, these findings link the evolution of four-opsin visual systems to adaptation in the context of finely tuned color perception critical to the behavior of these butterflies.

### Results

**Red Rhodopsin Receptors Drive Spectral Sensitivity at Long Wavelengths in Lycaenid Butterflies.** To identify red-sensitive receptors, we performed analyses of in vivo photochemical rhodopsin measurements in adult lycaenid butterflies. A remarkable red eye shine coloration was observed in the dorsoequatorial region of two species in the Theclinae, the Japanese Oakblue, *Arhopala japonica*, and the Atala hairstreak, *E. atala* (Fig. 2A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B). These observations raised the question of whether these...
butterflies might have enhanced red photoreceptor sensitivity due to lateral filtering and/or the properties of opsin proteins bound to ommatidial photoreceptor cell membranes.

To investigate this question, we first used repeated white-light flashes to partially bleach the eye. This analysis revealed that only a small fraction of ommatidia are surrounded by non-light-sensitive lateral cherry-red granules (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). The remaining ommatidia in the illuminated compound eye region lost the red eyeshine when subjected to repeated flashes of bright light. Photochemical difference spectra obtained from fully dark-adapted eyes identified LW spectral sensitivities in dark-adapted eyes of *E. atala* with \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 569.2 \pm 2.0 \text{ nm} \) for *E. atala* (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A and Dataset S1B) and with \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 571.5 \pm 2.5 \text{ nm} \) in *A. japonica* (Fig. 2D and Dataset S1A). These photochemical results support the hypothesis that elevated sensitivity at long wavelengths in *E. atala* and *A. japonica* is primarily due to opsins-based photoreceptors.

Motivated by these findings, we further examined in detail the in vivo contributions of all other rhodopsins in both species. Epi-microspectrophotometric (epi-MSP) difference spectra obtained after ommatidial flash revealed a blue rhodopsin at 441.3 ± 4.7 nm in *E. atala* (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A and Dataset S1B) and at 435.8 ± 0.8 nm in *A. japonica* (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B and Dataset S1C). Maximal sensitivity at short wavelengths was found to be accounted for by UV-receptors absorbing maximally at 351.7 ± 2.1 nm in *E. atala* (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C and Dataset S1C) and at 340.6 ± 1.3 nm in *A. japonica* (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D and Dataset S1C). Finally, a retinal densitometric analysis indicated that these physiological data are best explained by a visual model in which a fourth rhodopsin is present in the eye with \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values at 493.5 ± 0.6 nm for *E. atala* (SI Appendix, Fig. S2E and G) and 514.7 ± 0.6 nm for *A. japonica* (SI Appendix, Fig. S2F and H and Dataset S1 D and E). Thus, the eyes of *E. atala* (Fig. 2E) and *A. japonica* (Fig. 2F) likely contain a UV, two blue, and a LW rhodopsin.

**Functional Variation of Long-Wavelength Opsins Accounts for Red Spectral Sensitivity.** To examine the contributions of single rhodopsins outside of the complex eye environment, we characterized their encoded genes and assessed the rhodopsin complex absorbance spectra in vitro. Eye transcriptome profiling revealed a single LW opsin gene in *E. atala* (SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods and Dataset S2A) and a single orthologous LW opsin gene in *A. japonica*, which is in line with earlier molecular evidence for a single LW opsin gene in other Lycaenidae (8, 56, 61). To functionally characterize the encoded opsin proteins, we engineered a new expression cassette to achieve heterologous expression in *E. atala* (Fig. 2H). When purified from large-scale HEK293T cell cultures and reconstituted in vitro in the dark in the presence of 11-cis-retinal, we found that the LW rhodopsin from *E. atala* absorbs maximally at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 569.2 \pm 2.0 \text{ nm} \) (CI<sub>60%</sub> = 565 to 573 nm) (Fig. 2H and Dataset S2C). The LW opsin from *A. japonica* absorbs maximally at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 578.5 \pm 4.0 \text{ nm} \) (CI<sub>60%</sub> = 570 to 586 nm) (Fig. 2I and Dataset S2D). The absorbance maxima of purified LW rhodopsin measurements are within the confidence intervals of the best fit for LW linear absorbance estimates in vivo.

Our HEK293T cell culture expression system thus enabled us to assess the functionality of LW rhodopsins outside of the complex eye environment. Our findings indicate that a single red-shifted LW opsin G protein-coupled receptor gene in these lycaenid butterflies encodes the rhodopsin responsible for extending spectral sensitivity at long wavelengths above 600 nm, compared to insects equipped with ancestral green LW opsins (6, 8, 71).

**Blue Opsin Duplication and Spectral Tuning Extend Photoreceptor Types.** To further examine how *E. atala* perceives wavelengths using four rhodopsins, we next performed a histological reconstruction of photoreceptor organization in a typical ommatidium (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). We found that *E. atala* hairstreaks have a straight, 480-μm long rhabdom composed of eight longitudinal photoreceptor cells in the illuminated ninth cell close to the basement membrane (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B), similar to a number of butterfly species investigated to date (27). The two most distal R1-R2 photoreceptor cells contribute the majority of microvillar membranes, the structures filled with rhodopsins, from 0 to 160 μm, whereas R3-R4 distal cells contribute a majority of microvilli from 140 to 300 μm, thereby overlapping partially with R1-R2 in the distal rhabdom. The proximal R5-R8 cells contribute most microvilli in the last part of the rhabdom up to 440 μm, a depth where the longitudinal photoreceptor cells no longer bear microvilli, and the ninth cell just becomes visible (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B).

Using these histological insights and double fluorescent in situ hybridization across transverse and longitudinal eye regions of males and females (Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S5), we examined the photoreceptor cell expression patterns of all *E. atala* visual opsins-like transcripts generated in our eye transcriptomics analysis. We found that the red-shifted LW opsin mRNA is expressed in six photoreceptor cells across all ommatidia in both sexes (R3 to R8, Fig. 3 E and F), which is typical of many butterfly species (15, 22) but not all (63). No fluorescent signal was detected for the long-wavelength opsin in R1-R2 cells (Fig. 3G).

We next examined the cellular localization of a short UV and two blue opsin-like mRNAs in transverse sections in the dorsal eye (Fig. 3 H–M). Using probes targeting LW, RH in combination with cRNA probes for UVRh (Fig. 3 H and K), BRh1 (Fig. 3 I and L) or BRh2 mRNAs (Fig. 3 J and M), our data provided evidence that the three latter rhodopsin mRNAs are expressed in either or both R1-R2 receptor cells forming single ommatidia (Fig. 3 K–M), suggesting a stochastic cell fate patterning in individual ommatidia (72).

We also considered the possibility that these opsins are coexpressed in R1 and R2 cells using cRNA probes for UVRh and BRh1 (Fig. 3 N and O), UVRh and BRh2 (Fig. 3 P and Q), or BRh1 and BRh2 (Fig. 3 R and S). We found mutually exclusive expression of UVRh and BRh1, and UVRh and BRh2 mRNAs in R1 and R2 photoreceptors (Fig. 3 N–S), indicating that in both sexes opsin gene expression follows a one-cell-one-opsin regulation pattern (72) in these two cells. To further characterize the abundance of photoreceptor cells, we counted 1,182 female ommatidia and 1,504 male ommatidia from high-quality transversal in situ tissue sections. Female R1 and R2 cells expressed on average 25.8% UV cells, and ~75% of blue cells, split between BRh1 (62.7%) and BRh2 (11.5%), whereas males have on average 28.4% UV photoreceptors, 63.3% BRh1, and 8.3% BRh2 photoreceptors. The relative abundance of UVRh:BRh1:BRh2:LW Rh cell types is thus similar between females (0.06:0.16:0.03:0.75) and males (0.07:0.16:0.02:0.75). Females, however exhibit a dorsalventral expression gradient in which BRh2-expressing cells are sparse in the dorsal eye region compared to males, but similarly abundant in the ventral eye of both sexes (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A, C, and E).

Additional structural features of the eye including lateral filtering cherry-red granules likely contribute to spectral sensitivity in some of the LW photoreceptor cells. Nonetheless, these cellular expression patterns show that overall, the retina forms an expanded stochastic mosaic of six opsin-based ommatidial classes: UV-UV-LW, B1-B1-LW, B2-B2-LW, UV-B1-LW, UV-B2-LW, and B1-B2-LW (Fig. 3).

Finally, we examined the activity of heterologously expressed blue mRNA opsin transcripts in *E. atala*. To do so, we reconstituted EatBRh1 and EatBRh2 rhodopsin complexes in vitro and measured their spectral sensitivity maxima via UV-visible (UV-VIS) spectroscopy. These analyses determined that EatBRh1
Red-shifted long-wavelength opsins extend spectral sensitivity toward the far-red in lycaenid butterflies. (A and B) Illustrations of *E. atala* (A) and *A. japonica* (B). Butterfly eyeshine photographs on the Right show a series of adjacent ommatidial units filled primarily with red rhodopsins in the dorsal retina (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1*). (C and D) Photochemical difference spectra (DS, black dots) were obtained following partial bleaches of long-wavelength rhodopsins from dorsal retina of intact butterflies and represent absorbance differences between amounts of rhodopsin (R, red) and metarhodopsin complexes when present (M, blue) after a dark period that followed photoconversion. Each black curve represents a computed difference spectrum for least square fits estimates at (C) R564 of *E. atala* and (D) R571 of *A. japonica*. In *E. atala*, the difference spectrum was acquired upon complete degradation of the M photoproduct and with small contributions from R440 (cyan) and retinal binding protein (RBP395, magenta). (E and F) Normalized eye spectral sensitivity of *E. atala* (E) and *A. japonica* (F) computed from a retinal densitometry analysis (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2 I and J*) reveals the contribution of four rhodopsins: UV, two blue opsins, and one long-wavelength opsin. (G) Schematics of the in vitro opsin purification assay. Opsin open reading frames (ORFs) were amplified from eye cDNA (1) and subcloned in an expression cassette derived from the pcDNA5 vector and containing a C-terminal FLAG epitope flanked by a peptide linker, a T2A cleavage site, and the cytoplasmic fluorescent mRuby2 marker for visualizing cell transfection efficiency (2). DNA-lipid complexes were transfected into HEK293T cells followed by 11-cis-retinal delivery. All subsequent steps were performed under dim red-light illumination (3). Culture plates were wrapped in aluminum foil and incubated for 36 h (4). Cells were harvested, active membrane-bound rhodopsins were extracted via membrane solubilization, and rhodopsin–chromophore complexes were nutated as detailed in *Materials and Methods* and *SI Appendix* (5). After an overnight incubation with FLAG resin, rhodopsin complexes were purified via FLAG resin affinity (6). All fractions were analyzed by Western blot, and the absorbance properties of the eluate fractions containing purified rhodopsins were measured via UV-VIS spectroscopy. (H and I) Functional characterization of red-shifted long-wavelength lycaenid butterfly opsins. Dark absorbance spectra of long-wavelength rhodopsin (LW Rh) expressed and purified using the HEK293T transient cell culture system. LW Rh absorbance spectra are indicated with black dots, and a rhodopsin template (136) was computed to obtain the best estimates of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ fitting the data. (H) *E. atala* purified LW opsin with $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 569 \text{ nm}$, $n = 2$ protein eluate aliquots. (I) *A. japonica* purified LW opsin with $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 578 \text{ nm}$, $n = 2$ protein eluate aliquots. Bars represent ±5SEs of the mean.
trajectory, we observed that Y177F alone conferred a 81-nm bathochromic shift ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 516$ nm), which could then be compensated for by an 8-nm hypsochromic shift ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 508$ nm) by combining G175S and A116S (Fig. 4 G and H). A third evolutionary trajectory explored the contribution of two lycaenid-specific cysteine substitutions (I106C and F207C) in helix 5 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

**Fig. 3.** Adjacent photoreceptor localization of duplicate blue opsin mRNAs drives retinal mosaic expansion. (A) Schematic diagram of a longitudinal section of the compound eye and optic lobe proximal to the retina. L, lamina; M, medulla. (B) Longitudinal view of a *E. atala* ommatidium (see Eye Histology and SI Appendix, Fig. S4); c, cornea; cc, crystalline cone; r, rhabdom; R1-R9, photoreceptor cells. (C) Transverse section of a dorsal ommatidium showing anatomical R1-R8 photoreceptor cells whose photosensitive membranes form the fused rhabdom. (D) R1-R2 cells in males and females express short visual pigments (UVRh, BRh1, and BRh2) forming six ommatidial classes namely UVRh-UVRh, UVRh-BRh1, UVRh-BRh2, BRh1-BRh1, BRh1-BRh2, and BRh2-BRh2 as shown in double stained ommatidia (N–S). (E and F) Fluorescent in situ hybridization of male and female dorsal eyes with a LW opsin cRNA probe shows that R3-R8 cells express LWRh in all ommatidia. (G) Higher magnification of E with one ommatidium circled. (H–J) Double fluorescent mRNA hybridization of transversal dorsal eye sections (H and J, female; I, male) with cRNA opsin probes for (H) UVRh (green) and LWRh (red), (I) BRh1 (cyan) and LWRh (red), and (J) BRh2 (blue) and LWRh (red). The boxed areas are shown under higher magnification in K–M, with dashed circles around individual ommatidia showing differences in R1-R2 expression patterns (i.e., no expression, expression in R1 or R2, expression in R1 and R2) of UVRh (K), BRh1 (L), and BRh2 (M) opsin mRNAs. (N–S) Transversal dorsal female (N, P, and R) and male (O, Q, and S) eye sections with double probe labeling for UVRh-BRh1 (N and O), UVRh-BRh2 (P and Q), and BRh1-BRh2 (R and S) indicate that short opsin mRNAs are not coexpressed in photoreceptors R1 and R2, thus revealing an exclusive one-cell one-mRNA expression pattern in single ommatidia. The dashed ovals correspond to individual classes of ommatidia (as shown in D). The BRh2-BRh2 ommatidial type was not observed in males. Longitudinal views of the eye show that ommatidia expressing BRh2 are more abundant in the upper dorsal eye in males compared to females (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).
These changes caused a strong green-wave spectral shift that was not compensated for by additional candidate tuning residues in a quintuple mutant, EatBRh1/A116S/I120F/Y177F/F206C/F207C (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 and Dataset S2D). GI7SS tested alone or in various double mutant combinations caused bathochromic shifts (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), but we did not obtain the sextuple chimeric construct bearing GI7SS and cannot therefore exclude the possibility that it may play a hypochromic role in this particular case. Our results from variants EatBRh1 bearing I106C and F207C, however, suggest that the cysteine residues on helix 5 are not likely to contribute to blue spectral shifts in EatBRh2.

Coordinated Spectral Sensitivity Shifts and Coincidental Wing Coloration Trait Evolution. The spectral sensitivities of visual photoreceptors may be mere by-products of evolution or may represent adaptations to specific color signals (73, 74). For a butterfly to interpret colors, it must 1) possess at least two spectral types of receptors sensitive to the reflectance spectrum of incident visible light illuminating colored objects, and 2) be able to compare individual receptor responses neuronomally to create an output chromatic signal (75, 76). To begin investigating the evolutionary consequences of molecular changes in butterfly opsins in the broader context of behaviors requiring color vision, such as finding oviposition sites and intraspecific recognition (49, 77–79), we derived a four-opsin color vision model (Fig. 5) and evaluated the overlap between receptor spectral sensitivities against the spectral composition of the reflected light produced by host plant foliage and all major colored patches on conspecific wings (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Figs. S7–S9 and Dataset S3 A–M).

In *E. atala* males, dorsal forewings are bright iridescent blue in summer, whereas scales appear more generally green/teal in winter generations (81). Female dorsal wings, on the other hand, display a darker royal blue color along the edge of their upper forewings. Both sexes also have conserved wing and body patterns, including regularly spaced rows of blue spots visible on closed and open hindwings, and a bright red abdomen enhanced by a large red spot on the midcaudal hindwing area that falls precisely along the abdomen when the wings are closed (Fig. 2A).

We used epi-microspectrophotometry to measure the reflectance spectra associated with leaf surfaces of the butterfly’s primary host plant, *Zamia integrifolia*, and noted a peak of reflectance at 550 nm and a red edge inflection point around 700 nm with high reflectance in the far-red region (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A and Dataset S3A). We analyzed leaf reflectance against spectral sensitivity functions in three- and four-opsin vision systems. Our analyses show that the overlap in spectral sensitivity between BRh2/LWRh (R495/R564) is expected to improve dichromatic discrimination in the *Eumaesa* eye (SI Appendix, Fig. S8A) at long wavelengths by 25 nm and up to 90 nm compared to intermediate visual systems bearing R495/R530, R475/R530, R440/R530, or R440/R564 receptors (SI Appendix, Fig. S8 C–F).

Next, we examined colored patches from males and females including blue scales on the abdomen and thorax, and black, blue, and red scales on forewings and hindwings (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Figs. S7 B and C and Dataset S3). Measurements from male and female ventral hindwings showed that black scales reflect only about 1.5% incident light in both sexes (Fig. 5 A and B and Dataset S3 B and C) compared to light reflected by adjacent colored scales in the blue/green band at 450 to 520 nm (Fig. 5 A and B and Dataset S3 D and E), indicating a 64-fold increase in the relative brightness of wing colored patches (Fig. 5 A and B). Blue scales on the dorsal forewings have distinct reflectance maxima at 490 nm in females (F) and 510 nm in males (M) (Fig. 5 A and B and Dataset S3 F and G) with female scales reflecting 1.3 to 1.9 times more light overall in the 400- to 500-nm wavelength range. Blue scales on the ventral hindwings have a maximal reflectance peak at 510 nm (F) and 530 nm (M) (Fig. 5 A and B) and under our experimental conditions, reflect similar light levels across 450- to 650-nm wavelengths between sexes. Light reflected from blue scales on the thorax is 1.9 times greater than in blue regions on dorsal forewings in females and 2.9 times higher in males (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B and Dataset S3 H and I); however, thorax reflectance spectra overlap tightly in both sexes, which suggests that males and females are dimorphic only on the dorsal wings. Finally, red scales on male and female hindwings reflect maximally in the far red (750 nm) (Fig. 5 and Dataset S3 J and K), similar to red abdominal scales (SI Appendix, Fig. S7C and Dataset S3 J and M). These results show the extent of overlap between *E. atala* photoreceptor spectral sensitivities and wing color traits.

**Discussion**

**Long-Wavelength Red Opsins Contribute to Far-Red Spectral Sensitivity.** Opsins are the first elements in visual transduction, and they primarily mediate variation in light detection, image formation, and visual spectral sensitivity (3, 5, 27, 46, 82). Recent research has also uncovered multiple cases where they have been coopted for novel functions (83–86). As insect visual opsin proteins belong to a dynamically evolving multigene family (1), they represent not only a robust system to link molecular genetic variation to phototactic changes in color vision (5), but more generally offer insights into the consequences of molecular variation contributing to adaptive phenotypes across distant animal groups (87–89).

Here, we identify molecular patterns of evolution and functional mutations underlying concomitant spectral changes to longer wavelengths in *G. geyeri* gene lineages driving lycænid butterfly visual phenotypes, and the partial convergence in blue opsin tuning residues between the *G. geyeri* and *G. cupreana* lineages. By optimizing a cell culture assay that allows the efficient purification and characterization of insect rhodopsin complexes in vitro, we identified a type of red-shifted LW opsin that absorbs significantly longer wavelengths. This is due to a remarkable 35- to 40-nm bathochromic shift in *λ*~max~ compared to ancestral green insect LW rhodopsins (15, 90) and produces photoreceptors sensitive to 560 to 700 nm of red light (Fig. 2). The ability to obtain recombinant insect opsin proteins provides an opportunity to uncover important functional insights underlying the independent evolution of vertebrate and invertebrate LW opsins involved in red sensing and enables exploration of the diversity of visual mechanisms and functions associated with LW opsins, which are thus far largely unknown except in *P. xuthus* (91). Our functional approach together with in-depth in vivo MSP and optophysiological data (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Figs. S1 and S2) isolated the contribution of the pure LW rhodopsin from red-filtering effects that often affect light absorbance properties in insect eye photoreceptors, demonstrating that the LW opsin proteins alone can dramatically increase the photoreceptor response at longer wavelengths (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Consequently, compared to butterflies and other insect species whose photoreceptors express typical LW rhodopsins (62), our findings demonstrate that lycænid species expressing red-absorbing rhodopsins have significantly extended spectral sensitivity toward the far red (700 to 750 nm) directly controlled by molecular variation underlying the absorbance properties of their long-wavelength opsin. In *Papilio* butterflies, which express combinations of five rhodopsin proteins (UV, B, and Fxbh1-3), green sensitivity is achieved via tuning residues in helix 3 of two LW opsins (22, 91, 92). Lycænid LW opsins instead possess a highly conserved helix 3 lacking those spectral substitutions, supporting the hypothesis that distinct spectral tuning mechanisms have evolved independently to achieve red sensitivity in lycænids.

Visual adaptations toward red sensitivity in vertebrate cones have been shown to facilitate long-wavelength light perception in primates (42), birds (93, 94), and in multiple fish groups, notably in threespine sticklebacks following repeated evolution of a red-shifted L opsin (95), via L opsin duplication and spectral tuning.
in far-red bioluminescent deep-sea dragonfish (96, 97) or in L. opsins sensitivity shifts in cichlids that inhabit red-light-dominated waters (98). In insects, discriminating green leaves is difficult for herbivorous insects without host-specific chemosensory cues because the foliar spectral characteristics of chlorophyll reflectance (500 to 580 nm) are highly similar among the vast majority of plants (99). Hence, many insects that have a green receptor can only compare dichromatic signals from green and blue (and/or UV) receptors, resulting in yellow color preference (26). In contrast, papilionid and pierid butterflies with red-sensitive photoreceptors have behaviorally been shown to use green-red color vision for green leaf color discrimination (53). The unique combination of spectral shifts in red and green receptor sensitivity (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S8) raises the intriguing possibility that oviposing Eumaeus females, and other lycanoids with red receptors, may as well extract more information from reflectance slope differences and leaf water content in the red range (>600 nm) compared to insects lacking red receptors (53, 100).

**Three Photoreceptors Derived from Ommatidial Expression Pattern and Green Spectral Tuning of a Blue Opsin Dupsicate.** Opsin evolution has undergone recurrent events of gene duplication and loss across animals, including insects (Fig. 1). Gene duplication followed by functional divergence among duplicate copies is known to increase transcriptional and functional diversity across lineages (101, 102), and in some instances favor the evolution of lineage-specific phenotypic traits (103, 104). Whereas structural, functional, or gene network constraints can lead to evolutionary trade-offs for biochemically stable alternatives among duplicated genes (105, 106), alternative mechanisms may arise to alleviate constraints of retaining redundant gene copies in existing eukaryotic gene networks (105). These include the repeated allelic fixation of segmental
duplications (107), cooperation between encoded products of duplication (88), or specialization of ancestral functions (48, 108, 109).

Several bug and beetle lineages that have lost blue rhodopsins independently, for instance, recruited duplicate UV or LW gene(s) to restore blue sensitivity (12, 110). Among butterflies, the Heliconius, Pieris, and Papilio lineages have respectively recruited additional UV, blue, or LW opsins, which confer acute spectral sensitivity in the range of violet to green visible light (10, 92, 111, 112). Our functional results in *E. atala* demonstrate that two short wavelength blue-like opsin loci encode a typical blue opsin (λ_max = 435 to 440 nm) and a significantly green-shifted blue opsin (λ_max = 495 to 500 nm) (Fig. 4). This increases overlapping sensitivity between two classes of blue receptors, suggesting finer wavelength discrimination and potentially improved perception of subtle color differences in shades of blue (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S10), in a manner similar to that found in species of *Pieris* in the Pieridae (111, 113) and species of *Lycaena* and *Polyommatus* in the Lycaenidae (61–63).

One interesting insight in the evolution of blue spectral tuning in *E. atala* comes from chimeric BRh1 variants bearing mutations A116S, G175S, and Y177F. Together, these mutations confer a 73-nm bathochromic shift (λ_max = 508 nm) most closely recapitulating the spectral properties of EatBRh2 (λ_max = 500 nm) compared to other tested variants (Fig. 4G and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S6). Intermediate photoreceptor phenotypes were also observed, suggesting that the acquisition of green sensitivity may occur via gradual evolutionary steps and epistatic interactions between sites. For instance, EatBRh1 variant A116S causes a +5-nm shift alone, but together with I120F, it shifts maximal absorbance by an additional +10 nm to λ_max = 450 nm. As these two residue substitutions are conserved across all characterized lycaenid BRh1 loci (Fig. A4), these results indicate that adjacent sites in helix 3 may contribute to intermediate blue absorbance spectra accounting for variable visual ecologies across lycaenids (62).

A third residue, Y177F, is a key spectral tuning mutation in *E. atala*, as the triple BRh1 variant (A116S/I120F/Y177F) displays a 30-nm bathochromic shift (λ_max = 475 nm) compared to the wild-type rhodopsin. These findings illustrate the multiple ways that gradual spectral tuning may have evolved, at least in this insect species, and point out the importance of spectral residues lying on the ionone ring portion of the chromophore binding pocket (31, 42). In agreement with the model of the mosquito, two of the reverse tuning substitutions at the same sites are responsible for hyperochromic spectral shifts both in a blue-shifted blue rhodopsin of a *Limenitis* butterfly (F177Y, −5 nm) (82) and in a violet-shifted blue rhodopsin of a *Pieris* butterfly (S116A, −13 nm; F177Y −4 nm) (17). Spectral tuning modulation in blue rhodopsin duplicate genes has therefore involved parallel evolutionary trajectories through convergent biochemical changes along multiple insect lineages. Reverse mutations are not necessarily functionally equivalent in their absolute magnitudes (Δλ_max), underscoring the role of epistatic interactions at neighboring sites, resulting in distinct λ_max shifts across distinct lineages. A homologous tyrosine residue in the ionone ring portion of the chromophore-binding pocket (Y262) in the human blue cone opsin (SWS2 λ_max = 414 nm) is responsible for a 10-nm bathochromic spectral tuning when mutated to tryptophan (Trp) (114). However, Y177 is unique to *E. atala*; other BRh1 loci at this position often have the F177 seen in EatBRh2 (Fig. 4A). Not all BRh2 loci have S175 but instead possess G175 in both blue opsin loci, potentially suggesting an additional tuning role for adjacent residues in positions R176K and I178V. These two residues do not differ much in hydrophobicity but may still provide the essential molecular interactions with the ionone ring portion of the chromophore necessary to modulate distinct BRh2 spectral sensitivity maxima. Human ancestors achieved blue sensitivity gradually and almost exclusively via epistasis among seven amino acid residues (46). Therefore, testing the nonadditive interactions at coevolving BRh2 adjacent

Extended Blue and Red Spectral Sensitivity Enhance Visual Signal Perception. Variation in peripheral photoreceptor cell sensitivity is a visual tuning strategy that can maximize the extraction of complex color information in natural environments and incidentally affect subsequent higher-order processing controlling behavior (119), as shown in marine habitat species (120), and some insects (113, 121, 122). In the lycaenid species examined in this study, spectral sensitivity evolved sharply in response to coordinated peripheral tuning relying on complementary changes in two opsin proteins (BRh2 with λ_max = 495 to 515 nm and LW with λ_max = 548 to 574 nm), and the acquisition of three additional photoreceptor classes (BRh2-UVRh, BRh2-BRh2, and BRh2-BRh1). The combined retinal densitometry and in situ hybridization evidence are consistent with the presence of two blue rhodopsins in separate photoreceptors in the same region in the eye and in sufficient abundance for color vision. In total, the six-ommatidial stochastic rhodopsin mosaic offers remarkable spectral properties, which would have been unlikely to achieve with the limited sensitivity of a single blue opsin or the sensitivity maxima of an ancestral green opsin. Given the high color richness and behavioral diversity of lycaenids, we may expect that photoreceptor and color vision evolution has played an important role in adaptation. Whereas shifts in opsin absorbance spectra may evolve recurrently or over longer evolutionary times, photoreceptor expression pattern differences such as observed in LW photoreceptors between males of *E. atala* and *Lycaena rubidus*, albeit sharing similar opsin spectral sensitivities (63), may reflect more rapidly evolving, lineage-specific ecological differences (95, 36).

Adaptations in visual sensitivity in *E. atala* are likely relevant in conveying spectral signals underlying distinct color-guided behaviors. The derived green-shifted BRh2 photoreceptors, despite a lower density across the eye compared to BRh1 photoreceptors, could further be beneficial in decreasing the minimal wavelength difference (Δλ) that the butterfly can in theory discriminate (46, 76, 123). Similarly to duplicate UV opsins that allow *Heliconius* butterflies to more finely discriminate UV signals (63), *E. atala* could effectively tune blue wavelength discrimination and chromatic resolution in the blue-green spectrum (56, 61–63), since these additional BRh2 photoreceptors predictably increase the number of possible opponency channels available for color processing (123–125).
would very likely stimulate the L cones of many bird species with red sensitivity from about 550 nm to 700 nm (39, 73), and they would readily associate toxicity with a color close to pure red.

Trichromatic systems are capable of recording most spectral information from natural scenes (121); yet a color vision system with higher dimensionality generally benefits color vision in bright light compared to a system based upon fewer receptor types (133). Although we could not record responses of different individual receptors, it is reasonable to assume that tetrachromaticity in *E. atala* is an adaptation for the discrimination of specific colors, such as body coloration or oviposition sites. Whether this is a specific adaptation for signaling via body coloration remains to be determined. Color vision theory further suggests that a system reaches its full potential when the width of the visual range is increased (133), which is in line with the red-shifted receptor in *E. atala* and suggests that the butterfly is able to robustly detect color differences across an extended range of wavelengths and filter environmental reflectance cues in parallel. In fact, the combined shifts in blue and red receptor physiological differences are likely to contribute a much larger effect to the efficacy of photoreceptor spectral sensitivity for maximizing stimuli detection than would any individual receptor shift (121). These concomitant peripheral molecular changes thus offer mechanistic insights into the diversification of insect visual spectral sensitivities, supporting the scenario of a sensory system where gene duplication generates new blue opsin paralogs, regulatory changes specify new spectral subtypes as reflected by evidence from in situ hybridization of the composition of photoreceptors making up individual ommatidia, and coding mutations modify the blue and LW chromatic sensitivity maxima, providing expanded spectral sensitivity at longer wavelengths as well as a finely tuned ability to discriminate wavelengths of incoming light in the blue band.

By developing a G_{o} opsin heterologous expression system and by demonstrating the fine tuning between the evolution of blue and red opsin functions for long-wavelength spectral sensitivity our study provides a promising invertebrate molecular toolkit to disentangle the molecular–functional interplay between peripheral sensory genes and adaptations in invertebrate color vision performance.

**Materials and Methods**

**Butterflies.** Pupae of *E. atala* were collected from host plants *Z. integrifolia* at the Montgomery Botanical Garden, Miami, FL in January 2020 and reared at 22 °C in an insectary under a 12:12 L:D cycle until emergence. Eggs and young larvae of *A. narathura japonica* were collected feeding on oak trees (*Quercus glauca*) from field sites near Ginoza, Okinawa, Japan and reared in the Museum of Comparative Zoology laboratories in Cambridge, MA (US Department of Agriculture permit PS26P-18-00037) until they eclosed as adults.

**Epi-Microspectrophotometry.** Compound eyes of most adult butterfly species exhibit eye shine, a property that allows measuring rhodopsin absorbance spectra as well as spectral sensitivity of photoreceptor pupillary responses in eyes of intact butterflies. The eyes of butterflies exposed to repeated bright white flashes under incident-light microscope show a photoschemical effect whereby the coloration of eye shine changes during each flash due to changes in absorbance spectra that accompany photosomization of rhodopsins to their metarhodopsin photoproduts (134). At the same time, the eyes exhibit a pupillary response mediated by intracellular migration of pigment granules within photoreceptor cells, causing the intensity of eyeshine to decrease rapidly with time during each flash (135).

Quantitative epi-MSP was used to determine absorption spectra of butterfly rhodopsins by measuring eye shine reflectance spectra after photoconversion of the rhodopsin to its metarhodopsin product (67, 134) using three MSP methods, in vivo photochemistry, ophtalmology, and retinal densitometry as detailed in SI Appendix.

**Functional Assays.**

**Cloning and protein expression.** The coding region of each opsin transcript (see SI Appendix for transcriptome analysis and opsin characterization) was...
amplified from eye cDNA and subcloned in a modified pFRT-TO expression vector cassette derived from pcDNA3 and containing the human CMV immediate early promoter (Invitrogen) (Fig. 2G). The expression plasmid was modified to include a C-terminal tag by the monoclonal antibody FLG epitope sequence (DYKDDDDK), followed by a Ser-Gly-Ser linker peptide, a T2A peptide sequence (EGRSSLTCGDVEENPG), and the fluorescent marker protein mRuby2. Plasmid DNAs were verified by Sanger sequencing and purified with the endo-endo ZymoPURE II Plasmid Midiprep Kit (Zymo Research). Prior to large-scale expression, small-scale HEK293T cultures were transfected to optimize expression conditions both via mRuby2 visualization and Western blot analysis as described in S1 Appendix.

Transient expression. High-expressing clones from GPCR opsin cDNAs were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells prior to in vitro purification. For each construct, cells were seeded at a density of 1.0 × 10^6 cells on day 0 in 15 tissue culture dishes (10 cm diameter, ref. 25382-166, VWR) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium high glucose, Glutamax (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Seradigm Premium, VWR). Lipid concentrations containing 24 μg DNA: 72 μL polyethyleneimine (1 mg/mL) diluted in Opti-MEM I reduced serum (Life Technologies) were added 48 h later to cells reaching 75 to 85% confluence. At 6 h posttransfection, the culture medium was exchanged with new medium containing 5 μM 11-cis-retinal (2 mg/mL stock in 95% ethanol) and under dim red light. The 11-cis-retinal absorption peak at 380 nm was confirmed using a NanoDrop 2000/2000c UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher) prior to each experiment using a 1:100 dilution in ethanol. Culture plates supplemented with 11-cis-retinal were wrapped in aluminum foil and cells were incubated in the dark. At 48 h posttransfection, the medium was decanted under dim red light. Cells were scraped in cold filter-sterilized Hepes wash buffer (3 mM MgCl2, 140 mM NaCl, 50 mM Hepes pH 6.6 to 8.5 depending on protein isoelectric point) containing complete ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-free protein inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich), centrifuged for 10 min at 1,620 rcf at 4 °C, and resuspended in 10 μL wash buffer for two consecutive washes. After the second wash, cell pellets were gently resuspended in 10 μL cold wash buffer containing 40 μM 11-cis-retinal. Cells expressing opsin-membrane proteins were incubated in the dark during 1 h at 4 °C on a nutating mixer (VWR) to favor the formation of active rhodopsin complexes, and cells were collected at 6,150 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C prior to centrifugation at 21,500 rpm for 25 min at 4 °C. The 10-μL crude extract supernatant containing solubilized rhodopsin complexes was added to 1 mL Pierce Anti-DYKDDDDK Affinity Resin (Thermo Scientific) and the eluate was performed using a NanoDrop 2000/2000c UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher) prior to each experiment using a 1:100 dilution in ethanol. Culture plates supplemented with 11-cis-retinal were wrapped in aluminum foil and cells were incubated in the dark. At 48 h posttransfection, the medium was decanted under dim red light. Cells were scraped in cold filter-sterilized Hepes wash buffer (3 mM MgCl2, 140 mM NaCl, 50 mM Hepes, 20% glycerol vol/vol, 1% n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside, complete EDTA-free protein inhibitors) and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C prior to centrifugation at 21,500 rpm for 25 min at 4 °C. The 10-μL crude extract supernatant containing solubilized rhodopsin complexes was added to 1 mL Pierce Anti-DYKDDDDK Affinity Resin (Thermo Scientific) and washed three times with the resin-bound FLAG-epitope rhodopsin complexes with 4 °C prior to centrifugation at 21,500 rpm for 25 min at 4 °C. The 10-μL crude extract supernatant containing solubilized rhodopsin complexes was added to 1 mL Pierce Anti-DYKDDDDK Affinity Resin (Thermo Scientific) and washed three times with the resin-bound FLAG-epitope rhodopsin complexes with 1 mL wash buffer containing 12.5 mg (265 μM) Pierce 3x DYKDDDDK peptide (Thermo Scientific). The eluate was concentrated using an Amicon Ultra-2 centrifugal filter unit with Ultracel-10 membrane (Millipore), for 35 min at 4 °C and 3,500 rpm. The concentrated eluate (350 μL) was aliquoted in amber light-sensitive tubes (VWR) and kept on ice in the dark. Uv-visible absorption spectra (200 to 800 nm) of dark-adapted purified proteins were measured in the dark from 1.5-μL aliquots using a NanoDrop 2000/2000c UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher). Opsin purification yields were estimated following bovine serum albumin analysis (Dataset S2). Raw absorbance data were fitted to a visual template (136) and polynomial functional analyses performed in R (v 3.6.3) (137) to determine the opsin maximal absorption peaks. The spectral mutagenesis methods are presented in S1 Appendix.

Eye Histology. Each E. atala eye was immersed for perfusion in 2.5% glutaraldehyde/2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) (Electrode Microscopy Sciences) for 2 h at room temperature, then stored at 4 °C for 12 to 14 h prior to fixation, embedding, ultrathin sectioning, and mounting on copper grids for transmission electron microscopy analysis at the Harvard Medical School Electron Microscopy Facility (see method details in S1 Appendix).

Preparation of RNA Probes and RNA In Situ Hybridization. We generated in vitro transcription templates from UVRh, BRh1, BRh2, LWRh opsin cDNA probes synthesized using T7 or Sp6 polynucleotides using either digoxigenin (DIG) or fluorescein (FITC) labeling mix (Sigma-Aldrich) from purified RNA probes using T3 or T7 RNA polymerase with NADH and 7.5 M and 1 μL glycerol, spun down at 4 °C for 30 min, redissolved in pure water, and stored at −80 °C. These probes were first used to test mRNA expression for each opsin receptor gene. We then tested the probes by dual color in situ hybridization using combinations of DIG and FITC probes to map opsin receptor expression patterns.

For in situ hybridization, E. atala compound eyes were dissected and immediately stored in 1.5 mL 4% Eppendorf formaldehyde made 4% formaldehyde (Fisher Scientific) in 1: phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 2 h at room temperature for fixation, then immersed successively in increasing sucrose gradient solutions (10%, 20%, 30% in PBS) for 30 min each, stored in 30% sucrose solution overnight at 4 °C, briefly transferred in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound:sucrose 1:1, embedded in OCT (Tissue-Tek), and frozen on dry ice. Tangential and longitudinal eye sections (12 μm) were obtained using a cryostat (Leica), mounted on VWR Superfrost Plus Micro slides, and used for RNA in situ hybridization following the procedure described in ref. 137. Double fluorescence in situ hybridization was performed using 100 μL hybridization solution (prehybridization buffer supplemented with 4% dextran sulfate [Sigma]) containing a combination of two opsin cRNA probes, labeled with either DIG or FITC (at 1 ng/μL for UVRh and BRh2, and 0.5 ng/μL for BRh1) and stored at −80 °C, and the signal developed using a TACS 2A-SSAP (PerkinElmer) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Tissues were mounted with Vectashield (Vector Labs). All the microscopical images were acquired using an Axioimager Z2 (Zeiss).

Wing, Body, and Leaf Reflectance. Reflectance spectra were measured from leaves of E. integrifolia (Zamiaceae) collected at the Montgomery Botanical Center. For all experiments, the whole specimen was included in the analysis and mounting on copper grids for transmission electron microscopy analysis at the Harvard Medical School Electron Microscopy Facility (see method details in S1 Appendix).
The evolution of red color vision is linked to coordinated rhodopsin tuning in lycaenid butterflies.
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